ENERGY TRANSFER IN PHYCODILIPROTEINS AS STUDIED BY PHOTOCHEMICAL HOLE BURNING

- a) Fachbereich Physik, Universität Bayreuth, D-8580 Bayreuth
- b) Botanisches Institut, Universität München, D-8000 München

INTRDDUCTIDN

Chlorophyll, the essential part of the photosynthetic reaction cen-
ter, absorbs light between 450 and 600 nm only weakly and, hence, is rather inefficient in gathering sunlight. To overcome this drawback, photosynthetic organisms developped so called accessory or light harvesting pigments. These pigments gather sunlight efficiently due to their strong absorption in the regions of minimum chlorophyll absorption, and transfer the absorbed energy to the chlorophylls of the reaction center. The phycobiliproteins, e.g. phycoerythrin (PE), phycocyanin (PC) and allophycocyanin (APC) are such pigments (1) .

Fig. ¹ shows schematically the sequential energy transfer from PE to PC and APC, from where it is eventually transferred to the chlorophylls. Here, we report pho— $\frac{P(C)}{P(C)}$ physics. Here, we report pho-
tochemical hole burning (PHB) experiments on the isolated
pigments, PE and PC. These chromoproteins contain several chromophores which are photochemistry coupled via energy transfer processes $(2,3)$. The excitation energies of the chromo— Fig. 1: Schematic representation of ab- aiffer slightly because of different structures. Hence,

sorption and energy transfer by the different microscopic envivarious antenna pigments in the plant cell. ronments and (or) slightly

the energy transfer is nonresonant in nature.

The spectroscopic investigation of light harvesting pigments is limited by low optical resolution due to inhomogenous broadening and microscopic disorder. In this study we take advantage of the novel PHB-technique to increase the optical resolution by at least two orders of magnitude, thereby gaining information on the mechanism of the low temperature photochemistry of the chromophores and on the time—scale of the energy transfer process. The high resolution PHD experiments further yield information on the origin of the microscopic disorder and the inhomogenous line broadening of chromophores attached to protein molecules.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIDN

The preparation of the samples was carried out as described in Ref. $(4,5)$. As a matrix for the proteins we used a glycerol/buffer glass.

0 022—2313/81 /0000—0000/\$02.75 © North-Holland

The results shown in Fig. ² provide insight into the primary photo-chemical steps. The numbers label the sequence of hole burning experiments. Obviously, the burning of a lower energy hole does not affect an earlier burnt higher energy hole, but the burning process of a higher energy hole refills ^a previously burnt, lower energy hole. For example, the burning of hole ³ refills hole ² but does not affect hole 1. Thus, the PHB process is .photo—reversible, and the photoproduct absorbs at energies higher than the reactant.

The reaction is also thermally reversible $($ not shown $)$. From these arguments one can conclude that the excited product state lies above the excited educt state (S_1) from which it is not directly accessible. Therefore, the first step in the PHB re-
action has to be an intramolecular relaxation process and, hence, the fluorescence lifetime is an upper limit for the rate of the photoreaction.

The results shown for PC also hold for
PE (5). A further feature observed only in PE is shown in Fig. 3. Upon burning Temp: 1.6K in the short wavelength shoulder of the visible band of PE, there appear (in addition to the resonant hole) several and the satellite holes shifted to
 $\frac{6300}{2000}$ 6300 6600 lower energies by about 600 cm⁻¹. These nonresonant satellite holes shifted to
lower energies by about 600 cm⁻¹. These Fig. 2: Sequential PHB in a rational hole burning (6) or to nonreso-Fig. 2: Sequential PHB in a rational hole burning (6) or to nonreso-
phycocyanin sample. The numbers mant energy transfer. The possibility of ive the sequence of PHB experi- vibrational hole burning, however, would ments. Note that the burning contradict previous experimental finments. Note that the burning contradict previous experimental fin-
process of hole 3 fills hole 2 dings. For example, the PHB reaction process of hole 3 fills hole 2 dings. For example, the PHB reaction at but does not affect hole 1. $\overline{5500}$ & is as efficient as in the lower 5500 R is as efficient as in the lower transition. If we initiate hole burning
photochemistry at 5500 A in a vibratiotion at 5700 A, we would simultaneously irradiate into the hole filling process.
competing with the burning process. We further note that satellite holes only appear on the low energy side of the laser frequency. Fig. \tilde{j} (trace c) shows that hole burning in the long wavelength transition does not result in satellite
holes in the higher energy shoulder as expected in the higher energy shoulder a
general policy of the property of the property of the particular of the
position of the pHD o burning. We therefore interpret the PHB satellites in C-PE as being due to nonresonant energy transfer. Three limits can be distinguished with respect to the inherent time scale of the PHB reaction:

Fig. 3: Resonant hole burning and PHB satellites in phycoerythrin. Hole burning in the lowest **5250 5375 5500 5625 5750 5375 5500 6525 6525 6585 6750 energy transition** (trace c). 1) The fast transfer limit, characterized by

 k_{ETP} >> k_{DP}

 k_{ET} is the rate of energy transfer and k_{PR} the rate of the PHB reaction. In this case the excitation energy is transferred to an acceptor before the photoreaction takes place in the resonantly excited chromophore. Only nonresonant holes can be observed.

2) The slow transfer limit, characterized by

kET << kpR

In this case we expect only a resonant hole at the laser frequency.

3) The intermediate transfer limit, characterized by $k_{\text{ET}} \approx k_{\text{PR}}$

Some molecules may undergo a photoreaction while others transfer their excitation energy to an acceptor. ^A resonant as well as non—resonant holes can be observed.

The intermediate limit would explain our findings. Since the fluorescence lifetime is an upper limit for the rate of reaction it is also an upper limit for the energy transfer rate. Thus, energy transfer in phycoerythrin is, at ² K, not an ultrashort process but competes with intramolecular decay rates. Another interesting observation along this line of reasoning is the fact that the satellites are rather sharp. In case of nonresonant energy transfer one would generally expect satellite holes with a width on the order of a phonon sideband. From the narrow width (10 cm⁻¹) of the satellit we conclude that the energy gap between donor and receptor varies
only within a narrow range (10 cm⁻¹). The protein molecule thus
seems to keep the contract relative arrangeseems to keep the chromophores in a highly ordered relative arrangement, and the disorder reflected in the large inhomogenous width would then be due to the interaction of the protein molecule as a whole with the glass matrix $(5,7)$.

REFERENCES

- (1) H. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 20 , 241 (1981)
- (2) E. Gantt, Bio Science 25, 781 (1975), Photochem. Photobiol.

26, 685 (1977)

(3) J. Grabowski, E. Gantt, Photochem. Photobiol. 28, 47 (1978)
-
- (4) J. Friedrich, H. Scheer, B. Zickendraht-Wendelstadt, D. Haarer J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103 , 1030 (1981) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 1030 (1981)
(5) J. Priedrich, W. Galearer, B. Zickendraht, Wandelstadt, D. Hearer
- J. Chem. Phys. 74, 2260 (1981) J. Chem. Phys. 74, 2260 (1981)
(6) B. M. Kharlamov, L. A. Bykovskaya, R. J. Personov, Chem. Phys
- Lett. $50, 407$ (1977)
(3) J. Friedrich, H. Scheer, B. Zickerdelstadt, New delsdie B. Hearer
- J. Friedrich, H. Scheer, B. Zi
Photochem. Photobiol. , in Pres